EXHIBIT 312

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	CASE NUMBER: 22-CV-10904-JSR
4	ACTION FOR DAMAGES
5	
6	GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES) VIRGIN ISLANDS,)
7	Plaintiff,)
8	VS.)
9	JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,)
10	Defendant.)
11	'
12	
13	
14	
15	VIDEO RECORDED DEPOSITION OF
16	CAROL THOMAS JACOBS
17	THURSDAY, JULY 13, 2023
18	
19	
20	DEDODIED DV
21	REPORTED BY:
22	DENISE D. HARPER-FORDE Certified Shorthand Reporter (CSR)
23	Certified RealTime Reporter (CRR) Certified LiveNote Reporter (CLR)
24	Registered Professional Reporter (RPR) Notary Public (FLORIDA)
25	



1	in 2017 at least I wasn't aware
2	and I don't know if anyone at Attorney
3	General's Office was aware of these
4	allegations in 2017.
5	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
6	Q. And do you know if the
7	Attorney General's Office in the
8	Virgin Islands did anything at anytime
9	prior to 2019 to try to see what kind
LO	of allegations were being made in
L1	publicly filed lawsuits regarding
L2	Jeffrey Epstein?
L3	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
L4	form.
L5	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of
L6	any.
L7	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
L8	Q. Would you agree with me that
L9	it would have been appropriate for the
20	Virgin Islands to make efforts to find
21	out what kind of allegations were
22	being made against a prominent
23	resident like Mr. Epstein in publicly
24	filed lawsuits?
25	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to



1 form. 2 THE WITNESS: If they were 3 aware. But like I say, I don't know 4 if anyone at the Virgin -- I don't 5 know if anyone -- at least I can only 6 speak as to myself. I know I wasn't 7 aware, and I don't know if anyone in 8 the Attorney General's Office was 9 aware. 10 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 11 I understand that. 0. No. But 12 I'm asking you a slightly different 13 question, which is given that Mr. 14 Epstein was a registered sex offender 15 living on the Virgin Islands and given 16 that he had been granted various 17 waivers of the ordinary registration 18 notification requirements and given 19 the difficulties that the Virgin 2.0 Islands had with the annual monitoring 21 visits, do you agree with me that it 22 would have been appropriate given 23 those facts for the law enforcement in 24 the Virgin Islands to make efforts 25 affirmatively to monitor what was



1 being alleged about Mr. Epstein in 2 publicly filed civil lawsuits? 3 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to 4 form. 5 THE WITNESS: If they were 6 aware of the publicly filed lawsuits, 7 and I -- I have no information that 8 the Virgin Islands was aware of this lawsuit in 2017. 9 10 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 11 0. Are you aware that it is not 12 hard to learn of publicly filed 13 lawsuits if you tried? 14 ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to 15 form. 16 THE WITNESS: You know, I 17 don't know. I don't know what -- I 18 don't know. 19 (BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN): 20 0. Okay. Do you think that the 21 Virgin Islands should have at least 22 tried to find out what was going 23 alleged in publicly -- what was being 24 alleged in publicly filed lawsuits 25 regarding Mr. Epstein?



1	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
2	form Asked and answered.
3	THE WITNESS: The Virgin
4	Islands can only respond to what they
5	know. And like I said, I don't know
6	if anyone in the Virgin Islands knew.
7	I was not aware, and I don't know if
8	anyone was aware in 2017.
9	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
10	Q. All right. I'm going to ask
11	my question in a slightly different
12	way, but same same question.
13	Do you think part of the
14	Virgin Islands' responsibility for
15	protecting the community included
16	making efforts to try to learn what
17	was being alleged about Mr. Epstein in
18	publicly filed lawsuits?
19	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
20	form.
21	THE WITNESS: The Virgin
22	Islands can only take action on what
23	they know. And I've said it, I have
24	no information that they were aware of
25	this.



Q. We have met before. I am
David Ackerman with the law firm of
Motley Rice. We represent the
Government of the Virgin Islands in
this action.
I'm just going to have a few
questions for you. And I want to
start with that document that was
marked as Exhibit 10. Can you pull
that in front of you?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is a document that's
entitled "Complaint."
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Does the document
indicate where the Complaint was
filed?
A. It says United States District
Court Southern District of New York.
Q. Was this Complaint filed in
the Virgin Islands?
A. No, it was not.
Q. Okay. In your opinion, do you
believe law enforcement has an



1	obligation to monitor public dockets
2	of every court in the country for
3	allegations that may reference the
4	Virgin Islands?
5	A. I don't. I think it's
6	unreasonable. It would be an
7	unreasonable obligation on the Virgin
8	Islands.
9	Q. Okay. Thank you. We can put
LO	that document aside.
L1	Let's go to Exhibit 1, which
L2	is that big document. And I want to
L3	start with the page that is I
L4	apologize, I need to find it 12500
L5	near the end of the document. Are you
L6	there?
L7	A. Yes.
L8	Q. And this is the E-mail chain
L9	that counsel showed you involving
20	Clive Rivers and Denise George. And
21	you were copied on several of these
22	E-mails; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. If you would look
25	please at the second page at the very



And there is an E-mail that 1 2 AG George sent to Attorney Kellerhals 3 and Attorney Rivers on June 2nd, 2019. 4 Α. Uh-huh. 5 O. Do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And then that E-mail continues Ο. 8 over to the next page, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And if you look at the second 11 full paragraph on the next page, which 12 is Bates numbered 12502 --13 Α. Yes. 14 -- do you see the section that 15 says, "While my predecessors have 16 apparently had differing 17 interpretations of Title 14, Section 18 1724B (4) of the Virgin Islands code." 19 Did I read that correctly? 20 Α. Yes. In your experience in 21 Okay. 0. 22 government, is it unusual for 23 different office holders to have 24 differing interpretations of statutory 25 provisions?



1	A. This is something you know,
2	it happens. Different Attorney
3	Generals give different can have a
4	different opinion on a particular
5	issue.
6	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with
7	Section 1724B (4) of the Virgin
8	Islands code?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And did you become familiar
11	with that section in connection with
12	your review of materials relating to
13	Mr. Epstein's sex offender
14	registration?
15	ATTORNEY NEIMAN: Objection to
16	form, leading.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
18	(BY ATTORNEY ACKERMAN):
19	Q. Okay. Let me ask the question
20	differently.
21	How did you become familiar
22	with that section?
23	A. When the matter was the
24	issue was brought to me by Attorney
25	Shani, I reviewed the statute.



1	Q. Okay. Does that statute say
2	anything about the Attorney General's
3	role in the notification
4	requirements?
5	A. It gives the Attorney General
6	the discretion to modify the
7	notification requirements.
8	Q. Okay. Do you have any any
9	understanding as to whether Attorney
10	General Frazer was exercising his
11	discretion in granting a waiver?
12	A. From his letter, that's what
13	it appears.
14	Q. Okay. Do you believe that
15	Attorney General Frazer did anything
16	inconsistent with the statute in
17	granting the waiver to Mr. Epstein?
18	A. Attorney Generals are free to
19	exercise their discretion however they
20	see fit. And he exercised his
21	discretion one way, and I when I
22	was sitting Attorney General, I
23	exercised my discretion in a different
24	manner.
25	Q. When you were sitting Attorney

